Housing Technical Advisory Group-Draft Metrics Including Additions and Changes Received from Members Following the Meeting

	Goals	Metrics
Goal #1	Communities across the region have diversified housing stock that provides quality housing choice that meets the needs of the region's population, including the needs of the elderly, disabled, and low income populations.	<ul> <li>Number of new housing units</li> <li>Number or percent of people living in substandard housing</li> <li>Demographics – population, need for affordable homes, elderly</li> <li>Number of homeless</li> <li>Geographic location of housing and density around socio-economic neighborhoods (sources: permits, CAD, census)</li> <li>Distribution of housing types</li> <li>Units available to LMI based on type of housing, bracketed by income. Look at people accessing and waitlisted existing low income housing programs, emergency home repair, down payment assistance, Habitat for Humanity</li> <li>Align supply with income levels</li> <li>Number of housing units available versus population projections</li> <li>Number of units for transitional/homeless populations, including transitional housing, shelters, etc.</li> <li>Number of housing units that accommodate disabled/special needs populations.</li> <li>Number of rental units for larger families (2, 3, 4 bedrooms)</li> </ul>

Goal #2	Increase access to equitable, affordable housing.	Number of areas and number of households with poverty or racial concentrations Geographic distribution of affordable housing Number of ordinances that prohibit rental housing Number of ordinances that encourage or incentivize affordable housing within ½ to ½ mile of major transportation connection nodes (like rail stops, HOV transit stations, bus transit stations, etc.)
Goal #3	Housing, transportation, land use and infrastructure services and policies are coordinated to increase access to areas of opportunity, including: quality education, healthy food, and quality jobs to all region residents. (Possibly split coordination and access.)	Access to transit options/mobility Number of housing units close to transportation centers, X% LMI households Number of households within X radius of grocery store Number of housing units close to transportation centers, X% LMI households

Goal #4	Increase durability, energy efficiency, health and safety of housing stock.	<ul> <li>Number of changes to building codes and standards</li> <li>Existing utility usage per capita, per household</li> <li>Number of units above base flood elevation</li> <li>Number of repetitive loss structures – increase or decrease</li> <li>Incidents of lead poisoning</li> <li>Units painted or repainted with low or no VOC paints and carpet</li> <li>Number of ordinances protecting natural habitat that if built in could increase repetitive loss of structures (flood plain, coast, wetlands)</li> <li>Crime statistics</li> <li>Permit violators/repeat offenders of ordinances</li> <li>Air quality of neighborhoods near industrial uses</li> </ul>
Goal #5	Maximize potential of existing neighborhoods.	<ul> <li>Number of brownfield acres/sites for residential use</li> <li>Number of infill sites repurposed for housing</li> <li>Number of foreclosures</li> <li>Number of tax delinquent properties</li> <li>Number of dangerous buildings</li> </ul>

Goal #6	Maximize potential of new neighborhoods, while minimizing impact on natural resources (second clause developed by staff based on workgroup input).	<ul> <li>Number of housing units built with walkable access to retail, education and transportation</li> <li>Number of subdivisions connected to other subdivisions</li> <li>Number of new housing units</li> <li>Acres of coastal prairie, tidal and freshwater wetlands, upland and bottomland forests converted to development</li> <li>Water quality data compared to baseline – total suspended solids, bacteria, etc.</li> <li>Air quality data compared to baseline</li> <li>Acres of prime farmland conversion</li> <li>Water supply – increase in number of water rights acquisitions</li> <li>Number of ordinances encouraging, incentivizing or requiring new subdivisions planned in a way to connect to existing and future subdivisions through (1) street design and through (2) bike paths, parks or other</li> </ul>
---------	--	---

## Housing Technical Advisory Group Additional Information – Suggested Goals and Metrics Received from Members Following the Meeting

Goal	Metric
Provide diverse housing opportunities that lead to mixed income neighborhoods	
Goal 3a (split coordination and access): Increase housing units that have access to areas of opportunity, including: quality education, healthy food, and quality jobs to all region residents.	<ul> <li>Access to transit options/mobility</li> <li>Number of housing units close to transportation centers, X% LMI households</li> <li>Number of households within X radius of grocery store</li> <li>Geographic location of housing and density around socio economic neighborhoods (sources, permits, CAD, census)</li> <li>Distribution of housing types</li> <li>Number of housing units built with walkable access to retail, education and transportation</li> </ul>
Goal 5: Strengthen existing residential neighborhoods by converting vacant, tax delinquent, dangerous or contaminated land into more productive uses.	

## Housing Technical Advisory Group Additional Information – General Comments Received from Members Following the Meeting

- There seems to be a lot of emphasis in the goals and metrics about new development. I think we need to have something more about using existing neighborhoods and housing units (multifamily or single family) and infrastructure.
- We'll have to be very careful how we define acres of farmland used for development, since rural communities will be sensitive to anything that smacks of steering growth toward the city and away from them.
- [In response to above comment] In reading the goals and metrics they all sound reasonable however I am having a fundamental difficulty in grasping why we are so much into the details when we have yet to develop the overall concept of what is a "sustainable community". This should give you some basis for my comment. We are after all tasked with coming up with a master plan of how we can responsibly grow over the next 20 to 30 years. To do so we must paint a picture of what that vision will look like. We must describe how we plan to achieve such a goal. The counties, developers, community leaders will want to be swept up in the vision which will then describe what steps can be taken to get there. Housing is the end of the line for all

of us. It is where we rest our heads at the end of the day. We need transportation to get us home. We need places to work, learn and keep ourselves healthy within reasonable distance around us. Convincing others to desire the same goal is our main objective. This is what I see we are trying to achieve in this workgroup. I believe we have more work to develop this vision before we can begin to discuss how we are going to design a neighborhood that has equal parts walking trails and parks as it does homes and retail centers. Perhaps this vision is too out there for this group. I am curious to see what direction our group takes from the compilation of these comments. Sustainable communities are places where people want to live and work, now and in the future. While we have a responsibility to pay attention to those in the immediate need we have even more of a responsibility to insure for the future generations that we design/develop new communities that do not repeat the same mistakes. If we are to plan for the future then we must be visionaries and think broadly. We must plan where those working in the community can afford to live in the community. To do so will require smart planning, cost control, living within our means, not taking more from the land than we can put back, educating ourselves and making sure that we stay healthy. This is our goal. If we accomplish this then affordable homes will happen naturally, community gardens will supply the local grocery store, we will plant trees equal in number as those we take down and we will treat water as the precious resource that it is. Our community will flourish and so will the support services that support the community. Manufacturing will make the most basic essentials from locally resourced materials. Healthcare services will focus on preventative methods of exercise and healthy eating. Education will teach how to live more efficiently with the Earth's resources. A utopian vision for sure but one that we should aspire to achieve.

Principle	Goal
1. Provide new housing with choices for new	A. Locate housing with access to or provide
and relocating households.	safe, reliable and economic transportation
	choices to decrease household transportation
	costs, reduce dependence on foreign oil, improve
	air quality, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and
	promote public health.
· ·	B. Locate housing with access to or provide
	reliable and timely access to employment
	centers, educational opportunities, services and
	other needs of residents.
	C. Locate housing to support existing
	communities and maximize use of existing
	infrastructure including transportation,
	community services, flood control and recreation

	investments.  D. Safeguard rural landscapes, wetlands,
2. Support existing housing neighborhoods and communities through preservation, revitalization and reconstruction.	floodways and other sensitive areas.  A. Pursue strategies such as transit-oriented, mixed-use development, infill housing and land recycling.
	B. Invest in transportation options, improved infrastructure, improved schools, local recreation and public safety.
	C. Support neighborhood preservation.
3. Promote equitable, affordable housing.	A. Expand location and energy efficient housing choices for people of all ages, incomes, races and ethnicities.
4. Enhance unique characteristics of rural, urban and suburban neighborhoods.	A. Invest in healthy, safe and walkable neighborhoods.

• In general it seems like the workgroup needs to take another look at the goals and metrics, after comments are compiled and everyone can see what was submitted. Since this is a work in progress I think it is worth taking more time to explore whether possible goals are missing and further think about other metrics that can be included. It may be worth having another meeting before February.